



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/494,817		01/31/2000	Manraj Singh Johl	10992461	3999
22878	7590	12/15/2004		EXAMINER	
AGILENT	TECHN	OLOGIES, INC.	TANG, KENNETH		
		ROPERTY ADMINIS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. BOX 7	599		ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER	
M/S DL429			2127		
LOVELAND, CO 80537-0599				DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/494,817	JOHL ET AL.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kenneth Tang .	2127					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 05 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee							
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections.	etion(s):						
1. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: see		sidered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: none.		·					
Claim(s) objected to: 7,19 and 20.							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-6 and 8-18.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·					
10. Other: See Continuation Sheet and attached 892		MENG-AL T. AN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100					

Continuation of 10. Other: In the response After Final Rejection, Applicant challenges the Examiner's utilization of "Official Notice" and in response to this, the Examiner has provided the reference of Utter et al. (hereinafter Utter) (US 5,815,649). Utter teaches a manager containing a number of related states of partitions and carrying out a number of operations for the benefit of having managers to provide control to its related states (col. 7, lines 50-63). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the feature of managers containing related states in order to obtain the benefit described above. It is also noted that the Applicant has not challenged the Examiner's utilization of "Official Notice" at all until the response after Final Rejection. In addition, on page 17, Applicant tries to link "a context" (lines 6-7 of claim 1) to "a context" (line 4 of claim 1), however, there is no link established that "a context" (line 6-7) is the same context as "a context" (line 4). Furthermore, Applicant states that it is not understood how a PCI Interface Logic equates to possible states, and transitions between states. In response, by definition, logic is a set of operations performed by computer that provide transition between states that involve yes-no decisions (conditions).